BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED
09/15/26
11:03 AM
A2605006

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Approval of its 2027 ERRA Forecast Proceeding Revenue Requirement	A.26-05-006

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

JANET S. COMBS
ASHLEY K. MOORHEAD

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (562) 491-2875
E-mail: Ashley.Moorhead@sce.com

Date: September 15, 2026

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section **Page**

I. RESPONSE TO SCOPING MEMO AND RULING 1

A. Identification of and, if possible, summary of any informal resolution of any pending or anticipated motions that parties can achieve. 1

B. Identification of the specific facts and issues in the case that are uncontested and may be the subject of stipulation 2

C. Identification of the specific facts and issues in the case that are in dispute, separated by issues of fact and issues of law. 2

D. A statement regarding party positions on the need for hearings 2

E. Stipulations on the need for hearings and the admittance of proffered evidence. 3

F. Witness Matrix, Exhibit List, and Hearing Dates. 3

II. CONCLUSION 3

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (U 338-E) for Approval of its 2027 ERRA Forecast Proceeding Revenue Requirement	A.26-05-006

JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

Pursuant to the Assigned Commissioner's Scoping Memo and Ruling dated July 22, 2026 (Ruling), Southern California Edison Company (SCE) submits this Joint Case Management Statement (Joint CMS) on behalf of itself and other parties to this proceeding: The Public Advocates Office at the California Public Utilities Commission (Cal Advocates), Direct Access Customer Coalition (DACC), and the California Community Choice Association (CalCCA), (each, a Party; collectively, the Parties).[1]

I.

RESPONSE TO SCOPING MEMO AND RULING

The results of the Parties' meetings on the topics required in the Scoping Memo and the Ruling are set forth below, with Party positions on each topic indicated.

A. Identification of and, if possible, summary of any informal resolution of any pending or anticipated motions that parties can achieve.

The Parties are not aware of any pending motions in this proceeding. SCE anticipates the future filing of a standard motion to admit its testimony and exhibits into the record, together with a standard motion to seal the confidential portions of the record. SCE and CalCCA may

[1] Pursuant to Rule 1.8(d), SCE confirms that counsels for Cal Advocates, CalCCA and DACC have authorized SCE to file this Joint Case Management Statement on behalf of their organizations.

offer these standard motions jointly, since SCE and CalCCA are the only Parties to submit testimony in this proceeding. The Parties reserve the right to file additional motions in this proceeding.

B. Identification of the specific facts and issues in the case that are uncontested and may be the subject of stipulation.

With the exception of the legal issues listed below, the Parties are not aware of any facts or issues that are currently contested in this proceeding. While it is possible that other contested issues could arise during the remainder of this proceeding, for purposes of this Joint CMS, all other Scoping Items and the factual bases underpinning them are currently uncontested. SCE submits that a list of all specific facts that underpin these uncontested issues would be voluminous. The Parties intend to provide more detail on the uncontested issues in this proceeding (including as a result of SCE's October Update or the Parties' discovery responses) in their opening briefs, with specific references to the submitted testimony. At this time, the Parties are not in a position to stipulate to any facts or legal issues.

C. Identification of the specific facts and issues in the case that are in dispute, separated by issues of fact and issues of law.

Two purely legal issues are currently contested: (1) the methodology SCE should use to value any Pre-2019 Banked RECs SCE proposes to use for RPS compliance,[2] and (2) the methodology SCE should use to calculate the quantity of SCE's Retained RPS.[3] No Party seeks a hearing for these issues.

D. A statement regarding party positions on the need for hearings

The Parties agree that there is no need for evidentiary hearings in this proceeding.

---

[2] For additional information on this issue, please refer to the Proposed Decision (PD) in Track 2 of R.25-02-005 (Aug. 13, 2026), pp. 31-46.

[3] CalCCA raised this issue in CalCCA Testimony, p. 10 (1-7). SCE provided a response in SCE Rebuttal Testimony, pp. 4-5.

E. Stipulations on the need for hearings and the admittance of proffered evidence.

The Parties stipulate that there is no need for evidentiary hearings and to the admission of testimony that has been timely served in this proceeding. The Parties reserve the right to seek the admission of additional exhibits following the submission of SCE's October Update testimony if necessary.

F. Witness Matrix, Exhibit List, and Hearing Dates.

Because the Parties agree that no evidentiary hearings are needed in this proceeding, this section is not applicable.

II.

CONCLUSION

The Parties appreciate the opportunity to submit this Joint Case Management Statement.

Respectfully submitted on behalf of the Parties,

JANET S. COMBS
ASHLEY K. MOORHEAD

/s/ Ashley K. Moorhead
By: Ashley K. Moorhead

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: (562) 491-2875
E-mail: Ashley.Moorhead@sce.com

Date: September 15, 2026