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ii
SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) should adopt the investor-owned utilities’ (IOUs’) proposal to modify the Energy Index (EI) calculation, as modified, to provide for review of the three-year average in the Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) forecast case each time that average is proposed or updated, and to require that the IOUs provide the weighting factors in the May 15 ERRA Forecast application filing such that the only change to the EI in the October Update is the $/MWh forward market price.
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CHOICE ASSOCIATION’S COMMENTS ON THE ENERGY INDEX MPB PROPOSALS IN RESPONSE TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE’S RULING REGARDING MARKET PRICE BENCHMARKS

The California Community Choice Association\(^1\) (CalCCA) submits these Comments on the Energy Index (EI) Market Price Benchmark (MPB) proposals in response to the Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Regarding MPBs (Ruling), dated April 18, 2022, and Procedural email re Joint IOUs' MPB Ruling Energy Index Comments Extension Request, dated May 16, 2022.

CalCCA supports a modified version of the Joint Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs) June 13, 2022 proposal.\(^2\) After discussions with the IOUs, the parties agreed that the IOUs will provide the portfolio weighting factors as part of their May 15 Energy Resource Recovery Account (ERRA) applications rather than as part of the October Update. In addition, CalCCA is

---


amenable to the IOUs’ preference to include a three-year rolling average (rather than the five-year rolling average proposed by CalCCA), provided the three-year average methodology is subject to review in the ERRA Forecast cases to ensure stability and reasonableness.

I. THE IOUS’ PROPOSAL SHOULD BE ADOPTED WITH MODIFICATIONS

CalCCA’s June 13, 2022 proposal balances the objectives of increasing forecast accuracy and maintaining transparency for stakeholders and customers. CalCCA bases its proposal, in part, on the need for transparency in the methodologies used to develop market price benchmarks and in opposition to the use of internal production cost modeling (as originally proposed by the IOUs) to determine the generation weighting used in the EI. The use of production cost modeling lacks transparency in the volumes and prices utilized. The proposal the IOUs ultimately put forward, however, relies on portfolio weighting derived in part from the prior three years of energy revenues earned from Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (PCIA)-eligible resources in the California Independent System Operator market. Use of this historical data avoids much of the opacity problem CalCCA identified in its proposal.

As a result of CalCCA’s general support of the IOU proposal, CalCCA reached out to the IOUs to discuss minor revisions to further increase transparency. CalCCA proposed that the IOUs provide the weighting factors and the data supporting such weighting in their May 15 ERRA Forecast application filings, such that the only change to the EI in the October Update is the $/MWh forward market price. The IOUs have agreed to modify their proposal to provide this weighting data in the May 15 applications.

5 IOU Proposal at 6-7.
In its proposal, CalCCA recommended the use of a five-year rolling average, as opposed to the three-year rolling average proposed by the IOUs, which would have the effect of reducing the impact of any one year’s change in the index. However, CalCCA is amenable to supporting the IOUs’ preference for a rolling average of three years, provided the three-year average methodology is subject to review in the ERRA Forecast cases to ensure stability and reasonableness.

II. CONCLUSION

If the Commission finds that reform to the EI is warranted, it should adopt the Joint IOUs’ proposal, with the modifications discussed herein, to ensure the benchmark balance’s accuracy and transparency.
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