



**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FILED

09/28/21
04:59 PM

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue
Implementation and Administration, and
Consider Further Development, of California
Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

Rulemaking 18-07-003
(Filed July 12, 2018)

**CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CHOICE ASSOCIATION'S RESPONSE
TO MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338 E) TO
UPDATE ITS 2021 DRAFT RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD
PROCUREMENT PLAN**

Evelyn Kahl
General Counsel and Director of Policy
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CHOICE
ASSOCIATION
One Concord Center
2300 Clayton Road, Suite 1150
Concord, CA 94520
Telephone: (415) 254-5454
Email: regulatory@cal-cca.org

Ann Springgate
KEYES & FOX LLP
580 California Street, 12th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 987-8367
E-mail: aspringgate@keyesfox.com

On behalf of
California Community Choice Association

September 28, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	SCE SHOULD SUBMIT THE VOLUNTARY ALLOCATION RPS CONTRACT AND THE REC SALES PRO FORMA CONTRACT FOR COUNTERPARTY REVIEW NO LATER THAN 45 DAYS PRIOR TO THE DEADLINE FOR LSES TO MAKE THEIR ELECTION TO ACCEPT OR DECLINE THEIR VOLUNTARY ALLOCATIONS.....	3
II.	SCE SHOULD HOLD AT LEAST TWO WORKSHOPS PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE ADVICE LETTER SEEKING APPROVAL OF THE VOLUNTARY ALLOCATION RPS CONTRACT AND REC PRO FORMA CONTRACT.....	4
III.	CONCLUSION	5

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Continue Implementation and Administration, and Consider Further Development, of California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program.

Rulemaking 18-07-003
(Filed July 12, 2018)

**CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CHOICE ASSOCIATION’S RESPONSE
TO MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338 E) TO
UPDATE ITS 2021 DRAFT RENEWABLES PORTFOLIO STANDARD
PROCUREMENT PLAN**

In accordance with Rule 11.1(e) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (“Commission”), California Community Choice Association¹ (“CalCCA”) respectfully submits this response to Southern California Edison Company’s (“SCE”) motion to update its 2021 Draft Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plan (“Motion to Update”) filed in this proceeding on September 13, 2021.² This response is timely filed pursuant to Rule 11.1(e).

¹ California Community Choice Association represents the interests of 22 community choice electricity providers in California: Apple Valley Choice Energy, Baldwin Park Resident Owned Utility District, Central Coast Community Energy, Clean Energy Alliance, Clean Power Alliance, CleanPowerSF, Desert Community Energy, East Bay Community Energy, Lancaster Choice Energy, Marin Clean Energy, Peninsula Clean Energy, Pico Rivera Innovative Municipal Energy, Pioneer Community Energy, Pomona Choice Energy, Rancho Mirage Energy Authority, Redwood Coast Energy Authority, San Diego Community Power, San Jacinto Power, San José Clean Energy, Silicon Valley Clean Energy, Sonoma Clean Power, and Valley Clean Energy.

² SCE filed its motion to update pursuant to the March 30, 2021 *Assigned Commissioner and Assigned Administrative Law Judge’s Ruling Identifying Issues and Schedule of Review for 2021 Renewables Portfolio Standard Procurement Plans*, filed in this proceeding, as modified by Administrative Law Judges’ (“ALJs”) email ruling on April 2, 2021, the ALJs’ email ruling on May 26, 2021 (“May 26 Ruling”), Decision (“D.”) 21-05-030 in the Power Charge Indifference Adjustment (“PCIA”) proceeding, and the ALJs’ email ruling on July 22, 2021.

By its Motion to Update, SCE requests the Commission, among other things, authorize it to submit the pro forma contract to be used in the voluntary allocation of renewables portfolio standard (“RPS”) resources (“Voluntary Allocation RPS Contract”) via a Tier 2 Advice Letter “within 10 days after submission of its Final 2021 RPS Procurement Plan.”³ Further, SCE requests authority to include its REC Sales Pro Forma contract (“REC Sales Pro Forma Contract”) (to be used in the Market Offer) in the same Tier 2 Advice Letter.⁴ SCE notes that its Final 2021 RPS Procurement Plan “is likely to be submitted in early 2021[sic].”⁵

CalCCA appreciates SCE’s willingness to submit these contracts for early review, and for recognizing that these pro forma contracts must be agreed to and approved before LSEs are required to make their election to either accept or decline their allocation of RPS resources. CalCCA also agrees with SCE that a Tier 2 advice letter process is appropriate for review of the contracts to be used in the Voluntary Allocation and Market Offer (“VAMO”) process. To ensure a reasonable time period for potential counterparties to review, consider, and comment on the proposed terms and conditions of the Voluntary Allocation RPS Contract and REC Sales Pro Forma Contract, CalCCA requests the Commission require SCE to host at least two workshops on the proposed terms and conditions prior to the submission of the advice letter seeking their approval. To accommodate these workshops, CalCCA requests the Commission require SCE to submit the Voluntary Allocation RPS Contract and the REC Sales Pro Forma Contract for counterparty review no later than 45 days prior to the deadline for LSEs to make their election to accept or decline their voluntary allocations.

³ SCE Motion to Update at 2.

⁴ *Id.* at 9.

⁵ *Id.*, Appendix A at 106.

I. SCE SHOULD SUBMIT THE VOLUNTARY ALLOCATION RPS CONTRACT AND THE REC SALES PRO FORMA CONTRACT FOR COUNTERPARTY REVIEW NO LATER THAN 45 DAYS PRIOR TO THE DEADLINE FOR LSES TO MAKE THEIR ELECTION TO ACCEPT OR DECLINE THEIR VOLUNTARY ALLOCATIONS

As SCE notes, LSEs will be required to make their election regarding their voluntary allocation by May 2022.⁶ Because no firm date has been established for the delivery of SCE's Final RPS plan, submittal of the Tier 2 advice letter seeking approval for the contracts to be used "within 10 days" of that plan does not guarantee adequate time for potential counterparties to review the proposed terms and conditions.

Prior to making the decision to accept or decline the voluntary allocation offered to them, LSEs must determine whether the terms and conditions of that offer align with their individual programmatic goals as well as the requirements of Decision ("D.") 21-05-030. Under no other circumstances would an LSE be required to make a commitment to "purchase" without first seeing all relevant contracts in their entirety. LSE counterparties thus must have a reasonable opportunity and period in which to review and consider the proposed Voluntary Allocation Sales Contract and REC Pro Forma Contract. To ensure optimum participation in the VAMO process, and thereby achieve the portfolio optimization goals for which it was designed, LSEs must receive the proposed terms and conditions well in advance of the date on which they must make their election.

CalCCA therefore requests the Commission establish a firm date for the submission of the proposed contracts for review by potential counterparties. CalCCA requests the Commission require SCE to submit both the Voluntary Allocation Sales Contract and REC Pro Forma

⁶ *Ibid.*

Contract for counterparty review no later than 45 days prior to the deadline for LSEs to make their election.

CalCCA notes there is no reason to wait until the Final 2021 RPS Plan is filed, as the contract terms and format do not depend on that plan being finalized. This schedule will permit time for counterparty review, comment, and discussion of the proposed terms through a workshop process, discussed below.

II. SCE SHOULD HOLD AT LEAST TWO WORKSHOPS PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF THE ADVICE LETTER SEEKING APPROVAL OF THE VOLUNTARY ALLOCATION RPS CONTRACT AND REC PRO FORMA CONTRACT

The entire VAMO process is new for all parties involved. While the Voluntary Allocation RPS Contract will undoubtedly be based on pro forma contracts approved for IOU use in other contexts, the specific terms of the voluntary allocations themselves are, by definition, new. Because the review of these terms and conditions bears so significantly on an LSE's decision whether to accept or decline the RPS allocation, CalCCA urges the Commission to require SCE to hold at least two workshops on the proposed terms and conditions of the Voluntary Allocation RPS Contract prior to SCE's submittal of the advice letter seeking its approval.

The Commission also needs to consider the interaction between the Voluntary Allocation RPS Contract and the subsequent REC Sales Pro Forma Contract. The decision of a party to take its voluntary allocation (particularly for a long-term allocation) will be influenced by the relative costs and terms and conditions of the Market Offer contract as an alternative. Accordingly, CalCCA urges the Commission to require SCE to include the proposed terms and conditions of the REC Sales Pro Forma Contract in these workshops, as well.

The advice letter process itself, which is necessarily time-compressed, does not provide a forum for dialogue between potential counterparties regarding the specific terms to be agreed. A

workshop setting would be an appropriate venue for SCE to present the proposed terms and conditions to potential counterparties. Parties will have the opportunity to pose questions, and SCE can receive feedback on the proposed terms and conditions. All potential counterparties would benefit from an early familiarization with the contracts they will be asked to sign.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, CalCCA respectfully requests the Commission adopt its recommendations as set forth herein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Ann Springgate

Ann Springgate

KEYES & FOX LLP

580 California Street, 12th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 987-8367

E-mail: aspringgate@keyesfox.com

On behalf of

California Community Choice Association

September 28, 2021