

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Review,
Revise, and Consider Alternatives to the
Power Charge Indifference Adjustment

R.17-06-026
(Filed June 29, 2017)

**JOINT MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338 E),
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CHOICE ASSOCIATION, AND COMMERCIAL
ENERGY TO AMEND SCOPING MEMO**

JANET S. COMBS
RUSSELL ARCHER
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, CA 91770
626.302.1524
Janet.Combs@sce.com

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

Evelyn Kahl
Ann C. Springgate
Buchalter, A Professional Corporation
55 Second Street
Suite 1700
San Francisco, CA 94105
415.227.0900
ekahl@buchalter.com

Counsel to CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY
CHOICE ASSOCIATION

GOODIN, MACBRIDE,
SQUERI & DAY, LLP
Michael B. Day
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Facsimile: (415) 398-4321
Email: mday@goodinmacbride.com

Attorneys for COMMERCIAL ENERGY
OF CALIFORNIA

January 3, 2020

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Order Instituting Rulemaking to Review,
Revise, and Consider Alternatives to the
Power Charge Indifference Adjustment

R.17-06-026
(Filed June 29, 2017)

**JOINT MOTION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338 E),
CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CHOICE ASSOCIATION, AND COMMERCIAL
ENERGY TO AMEND SCOPING MEMO**

Pursuant to Rule 11.6 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the California Public Utilities Commission (“CPUC” or “Commission”), Southern California Edison Company (“SCE”), the California Community Choice Association (“CalCCA”), and Commercial Energy (“Commercial”) respectfully make this Joint Motion for Additional Comment Opportunity and to Extend Time to Request Evidentiary Hearings Related to Working Group Three Final Report (“Joint Motion”).¹

Pursuant to Section 3.2 of the February 1, 2019 Phase 2 Scoping Memo and Ruling of Assigned Commissioner in this proceeding (“Scoping Memo”), January 30, 2020 is the deadline for the Working Group Three Final Report to be filed and served (“Final Report”). Subsequent to the filing and service of the Final Report, the Scoping Memo provides parties an opportunity to request motions requesting evidentiary hearings by the tenth working day after filing and service of the Final Report.

¹ Pursuant to Rule 1.8(d), counsel for SCE confirms that counsel for CalCCA and for Commercial have authorized SCE to file this Joint Motion on their behalf.

SCE, CalCCA and Commercial are Co-Chairs of Working Group Three. On December 26, 2019, the Co-Chairs emailed parties concerning the procedural schedule applicable to the Final Report and requested that parties provide feedback on the Co-Chairs’ proposed modifications to the procedural schedule to accommodate additional comment opportunities. To fully develop the record concerning the matters described within the Final Report, the Co-Chairs proposed to amend the procedural schedule to provide for party comments and reply comments on the Final Report, and modify the deadline pertaining to motions requesting evidentiary hearings to following the filing and service of reply comments. Specifically, the Co-Chairs proposed the following additions and changes to the remaining procedural schedule, shown in **bold** below, which parties either supported or did not oppose:

R. 17-06-026, Remaining Phase 2 Schedule for Working Group Three Portfolio Optimization and Cost Reduction and Allocation and Auction

Event	Date
Working Group reports on consensus and non-consensus items filed and served at Commission (Final Report)	1/30/2020
<u>Opening Comments on Working Group Three Final Report</u>	<u>2/13/2020</u>
<u>Reply Comments on Working Group Three Final Report</u>	<u>2/20/2020</u>
Motions requesting Evidentiary Hearings	<u>2/27/2020</u>
Proposed Decision(s) Issued	Q2 2020
Commission Voting Meeting	30 days after PD

The Co-Chairs respectfully request that the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to this proceeding grant the Co-Chairs amendments to the scoping memo to provide for additional commenting opportunities, and to extend the deadline for motions requesting evidentiary hearings. The Co-Chairs support such amendments to facilitate full record development to

enable the Commission to reach a reasoned decision on those matters presented in the Final Report.

The Co-Chairs further request that, pursuant to Rule 11.1 (e), the ALJ reduce the time period to reply to this Joint Motion to four days. The Co-Chairs file this Joint Motion following parties' responses to SCE's December 26, 2019 email. Comments were received in support of the Joint Motion and no party expressed opposition.²

For the foregoing reasons, the Co-Chairs respectfully request the ALJ grant the Joint Motion and amend the Scoping Memo to adopt a modified procedural schedule as requested herein.

Respectfully submitted,
JANET S. COMBS
RUSSELL ARCHER

/s/ Janet S. Combs
By: Janet S. Combs

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770
Telephone: 626.302.6522
E-mail: Janet.Combs@sce.com

On behalf of Commercial Energy, California
Community Choice Association, and Southern
California Edison Company

January 3, 2020

² See CPUC Rules of Practice and Procedure, §11.6, Motion for Extension of Time (“... If other parties to the proceeding are affected by the extension, the party requesting the extension must first make a good faith effort to ask such parties to agree to the extension. The party requesting the extension must report the results of this effort when it makes its request...”).