

**Rating Action: Moody's assigns Baa2 issuer rating to Marin Clean Energy (CA) (MCE) ; stable outlook**

---

16 May 2018

**First Community Choice Aggregator (CCA) rated**

New York, May 16, 2018 -- Moody's Investors Service has assigned a first-time Baa2 Issuer Rating to Marin Clean Energy (CA) (MCE). MCE is a not-for-profit community choice aggregator (CCA) with an established operating record as a California Joint Powers Authority (JPA). The rating outlook is stable.

**RATINGS RATIONALE**

The Baa2 Issuer Rating reflects the strength of the California Joint Power Agency (JPA) statute and the MCE JPA agreement which together underpin MCE's creation and business model, and help fortify the ongoing stability of its existing customer base. The rating further recognizes the self-regulated rate-setting authority afforded to MCE, its established track record of operations and consistently improving financial performance, and the economic strengths within its growing service territory. The Baa2 rating considers our belief that state and policymakers remain supportive of the CCA model as a tool to advance the use of renewable resources throughout the state, a key consideration, and we view the current relationship with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) from a policy standpoint to be favorable to MCE's credit quality. MCE has an adequate liquidity profile driven in large part by growing internal liquidity sources. MCE's electric rates continue to remain moderately lower than investor-owned utility, Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E: A3 negative), and we view favorably PG&E's role as the billing servicer for MCE's customers which help to support the strong performance of MCE's accounts receivable.

These credit strengths are balanced against several challenges facing MCE, the most significant of which relates to their ability to manage power procurement risk which can be accompanied by uncertainties concerning resource production variability and future market structure. While MCE has been able to manage the strong customer growth experienced over the last several years reasonably well, MCE's ability to procure resources to support future growth objectives introduces incremental risk.

A particular challenge is the potential for MCE to procure more energy under long term contracts than is needed to serve their customers' load requiring them to sell the more expensive excess energy into the wholesale power market at lower market prices. According to MCE's financial statements, MCE has entered into forward purchase commitments for delivery of renewable energy on an as-available basis that aggregates \$1.8 billion at year-end 2017. In an extreme worst case scenario where there is a sudden decline in customer load, MCE could find itself in an under collected position should contracted power prices paid by MCE under these long-term arrangements exceed wholesale market prices for a sustained period. This scenario, for example, could emerge should a substantially higher than normal number of customers "opt-out" and return to PG&E for their generation product or through sustained technological advances which may permanently limit customer load growth. To date, MCE has experienced a very modest level of customers opting-out, with most of the migration occurring during the initial 120 day enrollment period. MCE appears to have mitigated the procurement risk by layering in contractual arrangements with differing tenors and going from a few suppliers to a diverse list of energy suppliers with no dominant contract and by maintaining a net short position in the mid to long term, and in an extreme case, has the ability to raise retail rates on its remaining customers if needed. Importantly, as part of the MCE's newly implemented energy risk policy, MCE manages its supply portfolio in the year forward net-short position, which minimizes the likelihood of this risk occurring and targets the maintenance of higher internal liquidity sources.

In addition to power procurement risk, additional challenges facing MCE are the newness of the CCA model within the California market, the continued evolution of the California electric market which continues to be on the cutting edge of change, the unresolved nature of the Power Cost Indifference Adjustment (PCIA) hearings with the investor-owned utilities, which could impact the cost competitiveness of MCE relative to the local investor-owned utility, and the pressure for MCE to maintain its customer value proposition as a provider of affordable renewable energy, as the business model offers customers choice.

A critically important rating consideration is the CCA's key governing documents including the California JPA statute requirement (Title 1, Division 7, Chapter 5, Article 1 (or Section 6500-6539) as well as MCE's JPA agreement which has been approved by each of the 33 participating municipalities, and which stipulates under Article 7 that the municipalities must pay their remaining cost obligations to MCE should they choose to depart from MCE. Article 7 is an important credit consideration as it helps to mitigate MCE's substantial exposure to future power commitments and is the mechanism by which there could be recourse to each of the 33 participating municipalities should one elect to depart from MCE. While the ultimate legal underpinning of this municipal obligation to MCE has not been court tested as to its effectiveness, our rating recognizes that all participating members acknowledged and accepted this risk prior to becoming parties to the MCE-JPA agreement.

Under the California CCA structure, electricity is procured by MCE primarily from commercial suppliers and delivered through PG&E's existing infrastructure with the utility continuing to provide transmission, distribution, billing and related collection services to MCE customers. Once a municipal ordinance is adopted for a municipality to join a CCA such as MCE, all customers in the municipality automatically enroll as CCA customers unless the customer elects to "opt-out" and return to PG&E in this case. A customer can "opt-out" before scheduled enrollment or anytime thereafter. Customers can opt-out without charge during the first 60 days of service. A \$5 residential or \$25 commercial one-time exit fee is applied for customers who opt out after the first 60 days of service. MCE has not implemented additional charges (but could do so) given the very low "opt-out" rates and its continued customer growth.

A key aspect of the value proposition offered by MCE and other California CCAs is the requirement that renewable and clean energy be a major component of the customers' power supply mix. This value is one of the most significant factors that provides strength to the long-term business model. In that regard, during 2017, renewable energy accounted for 62% of MCE's retail sales. MCE customer base now exceeds over 400,000 customers making it the third largest municipally governed electric enterprise in California, behind Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (LADWP) (Aa2 stable) and Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) (Aa3 stable).

#### LIQUIDITY PROFILE

As alluded above, maintaining a strong liquidity profile is a key credit consideration when procuring power for customers. Liquidity provides time for MCE to react with its locally controlled rate setting and to implement other power procurement mitigation measures. The Baa2 Issuer Rating incorporates our expectation the MCE will maintain growing levels of internal liquidity on its balance sheet as its customer base expands and also has ready access to incremental external liquidity to supplement its liquidity profile. In that regard, the rating acknowledges MCE's new Board reserve policy as a credit positive, and recognizes MCE's continued ability to generate annual free cash flow.

At year-end FY 2017, MCE had unrestricted cash of \$37 million or about 86 days cash on hand that is supplemented by a \$25 million committed line of credit that has no conditionality for advances. Because of the significant addition of new customers during 2018 and MCE's ability to generate annual free cash, MCE projects cash on hand to exceed \$60 million by FY 2019 representing more than 70 days cash on hand and 100 days liquidity on hand when factoring in the \$25 million line of credit. MCE's working capital needs appear to be modest as it receives cash payments from PG&E each day for its portion of the PG&E bill while paying its suppliers for purchased power at regular intermittent times over the course of the month. As such, MCE is typically able to generate positive cash flow in each month, including the shoulder months of the year.

#### RATING OUTLOOK

The rating outlook is stable incorporating a view that the CCA business model will remain intact including the statutory and municipal ordinances that permit full cost recovery, that CCAs will continue to enjoy independent local retail rate-setting authority, that MCE will be able to manage power procurement risk and reach and maintain appropriate liquidity targets that support its growth.

#### FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO AN UPGRADE

- Continued trend of sound financial performance, including free cash generation, enabling days cash on hand to reach at least 150 days on a consistent basis with total liquidity levels able to mitigate a 20% load loss requirement should customers depart
- Demonstrated track record of managing power procurement and related liquidity risks

- Narrowing of remarketing risk
- Ability to demonstrate resiliency to technological change or economic weakness

#### FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO A DOWNGRADE

- Liquidity profile not keeping pace with customer growth
- Change in direction in power procurement strategy to one more focused on ownership versus purchases which is aggressively financed and does not include protective covenants for debt or lease investors
- Incurrence of losses on a sustained basis from energy remarketing that drains financial liquidity
- Competitive position being challenged owing to lower cost options being offered by competitors and MCE's customers having to pay higher transition fees.
- Sudden increase in customers "opting-out" relative to historical levels

#### LEGAL SECURITY

Not Applicable

#### USE OF PROCEEDS

Not Applicable

#### PROFILE

With offices in San Rafael and Concord, CA, MCE is a California JPA founded in 2008 pursuant to the Joint Exercise of Powers Act and a public agency separate from its members. MCE provides electric service to retail customers as a CCA under the California Public Utilities Code Section 366.2.

MCE's mission is to address climate change by reducing energy related greenhouse gas emissions through renewable energy supply and energy efficiency at stable and competitive rates, while promoting local economic and workforce benefits. MCE provides electric service to retail customers and has the rights and powers to set rates and charges for electricity and services it furnishes, incur indebtedness, and issue bonds or other obligations. The parties to MCE's JPA consist of 33 local governments. Pursuant to the California Public Utilities Code, when new parties join MCE, all electricity customers in its service territory automatically become default customers of MCE for electric generation, and have the right "opt out".

#### METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Municipal Joint Action Agencies published in October 2016. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for a copy of this methodology.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal

entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody's.com](http://www.moody's.com) for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Daniel Aschenbach  
Lead Analyst  
Project Finance  
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
7 World Trade Center  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York 10007  
US  
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376  
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

Kurt Kruppenacker  
Additional Contact  
Project Finance  
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376  
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

Releasing Office:  
Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
U.S.A  
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376  
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653



© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL

INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent

to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.